rust-memory-safety-examples examples/use_after_free_prevention.rs: Code Companion

Reference code for the Ownership in Action lecture. Sections correspond to the lecture document

Section 1: The Structure of a Demonstration Runner

```
use rust_memory_safety_examples::use_after_free;

fn main() {
    println!("=== Use-After-Free Prevention in Rust ===\n");

    // Each call delegates to library module functions
    println!("1. Ownership Prevents Use-After-Free");
    use_after_free::ownership_prevents_uaf();

    println!("\n2. Borrowing Prevents Dangling References");
    use_after_free::borrowing_prevents_dangling();

    println!("\n3. C vs Rust Comparison");
    use_after_free::compare_c_vs_rust();

    // Summary output reinforces educational goals
    println!("\n== Key Takeaways ===");
    println!("\n== Key Takeaways ====");
    println!("\n== Key Takeaways ===");
    pr
```

The entire main() function is an orchestrator—no memory safety logic lives here. All demonstrations are imported from use_after_free module in the library crate.

```
// What use-after-free looks like in C (CONCEPTUAL - this is unsafe code)
//
// char* ptr = malloc(100);  // Allocate memory
// strcpy(ptr, "hello");  // Use memory
// free(ptr);  // Free memory
// printf("%s", ptr);  // BUG: Use after free!
//  // ptr still holds the address, but memory is gone

// The freed memory might:
// - Still contain "hello" (appears to work)
// - Contain garbage (crashes or wrong output)
// - Be reallocated for something else (security vulnerability)
```

This conceptual C code shows why use-after-free is dangerous: the pointer ptr remains valid syntactically even after free(), but the memory it points to is no longer owned by the program.

Section 3: Ownership as Temporal Safety

The move semantic is Rust's key insight: when ownership transfers, the previous variable becomes unusable. The compiler tracks this statically—there's no runtime check.

Section 4: Borrowing and the Lifetime Connection

Lifetimes are the compiler's way of tracking "how long does this reference need to be valid?" When a reference would outlive its referent, compilation fails.

Section 5: The Compile-Time Advantage

The key distinction: Rust's safety checks happen entirely during compilation. The resulting binary contains no ownership checks, no reference counting, no garbage collector—just the logic you wrote.

Section 6: C vs Rust Mental Models

```
// Mental model comparison

// C pointer: "I believe valid data lives here"

// - The compiler trusts you

// - No verification of belief

// - Undefined behavior if wrong

// Rust reference: "The compiler verified valid data lives here"

// - The compiler proves correctness

// - Static analysis of all code paths

// - Won't compile if unverifiable

fn compare_c_vs_rust() {

    // In Rust, you cannot create an invalid reference in safe code

    let valid_ref: &i32;
    let owner = 42;
    valid_ref = &owner; // Compiler verifies: owner lives long enough

// There is no Rust equivalent to:
    // int* ptr = (int*)0xDEADBEEF; // C: trust me, this is valid
    // *ptr = 42; // Undefined behavior

println!("Reference always valid: {}", valid_ref);
}
```

Safe Rust makes it impossible to construct a reference to invalid memory. Every reference creation is verified at compile time.

Quick Reference: Ownership vs Borrowing

Concept		Meaning	
			<pre>let s = String::new()</pre>
	&T		fn len(s: &String)

Mutable borrow	&mut T	<pre>fn push(s: &mut String)</pre>
Move		let s2 = s1

Key Compile Errors

	Meaning
	Tried to use a value after ownership transferred
"cannot borrow as mutable"	Tried to mutate through a shared reference

The Ownership Rules

- 1. Fach value has exactly one owner
- When the owner goes out of scope, the value is dropped
- 3. Ownership can be transferred (moved) or temporarily lent (borrowed)
- References must never outlive their referent